

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/509,587

Applicant(s)

PATBERG, LOTHAR

Examiner

MICHAEL P. FERGUSON

Art Unit

3679

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL P. FERGUSON.

(3) _____.

(2) Isaac A. Hubner.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3,5-7,9,10,12 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Showa Aluminum (JP 8061329) and Hoover (US 809,061).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: An examiner's amendment was discussed with the applicant in order to overcome rejection under 35 USC 103 in view of Showa Aluminum in view of Hoover, and in order to overcome rejection under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.